

Cognitive Control of Eating

Higgs, Suzanne; Spetter, Maartje S

DOI:

[10.1007/s13679-018-0296-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-018-0296-9)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Higgs, S & Spetter, MS 2018, 'Cognitive Control of Eating: the Role of Memory in Appetite and Weight Gain', *Current Obesity Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 50–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-018-0296-9>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 16/02/2018

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Cognitive Control of Eating: the Role of Memory in Appetite and Weight Gain

Suzanne Higgs¹ · Maartje S. Spetter¹

© The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

Abstract

Purpose of review The present review organises the recent literature on the role of memory in eating behaviours and provides an overview of the current evidence relating to the associations between memory and weight gain.

Recent findings Research over the last few years has highlighted working memory as an important cognitive process that underpins many aspects of appetite control. Recent work on episodic memory and appetite has replicated work showing that manipulating memory for recent eating affects later consumption and extended this work to examine associations between individual differences in memory and eating behaviours. Poorer episodic memory ability is related to a reduced sensitivity to internal states of hunger and satiety and a tendency towards uncontrolled eating. There is also recent evidence to suggest that working memory and episodic memory impairments are related to weight gain and high BMI.

Summary Working memory and episodic memory are core cognitive processes that are critical for food-related decision-making, and disruption to these processes contributes to problems with appetite control and weight gain, which suggests that weight loss programmes might be improved by the addition of cognitive training.

Keywords Episodic memory · Working memory · Eating behaviour · Obesity · Cognition · Appetite

Introduction

The importance of cognition in the control of eating has been recognised for some time, notably by Stanley Schachter who found that manipulating cognitions present at the time of an eating episode affected the food intake of both lean and higher weight participants [1]. More recently, there has been interest in identifying the specific cognitive processes that underpin food-related decision-making [2]. Higgs and colleagues reviewed the literature on the role of learning and memory in the control of eating and highlighted working memory and episodic memory as key processes [3]. The aim of the present review is to provide an update on recent research into cognitive controls of eating, with a specific focus on memory processes in humans. We will discuss food choices in the

context of current neuro-cognitive models of decision-making and highlight how metabolic factors interact with these decision-making processes. We then focus on reviewing the evidence that working memory and episodic memory processes are associated with eating behaviours. We will also examine recent research that has suggested that disruption to these memory processes is associated with overeating and weight gain. We will conclude by briefly discussing the implications of research in this area.

Cognitive Processes Underpinning Eating Behaviours

To make a food choice, we must first recognise an object as food [4]. Hence, the first cognitive process that underpins the control of eating behaviour is the detection and processing of a relevant stimulus (in this case food). Imagine walking into your kitchen and seeing a packet of cookies next to the fruit bowl on the counter, whether you decide to open the cookie packet or take an apple from bowl will first depend on whether you notice there is food there at all. Once a food has been attended to and recognised as a potential object of desire, in

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Psychological Issues*

✉ Suzanne Higgs
s.higgs.1@bham.ac.uk

¹ School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

that moment of decision-making, the brain will be performing a range of computations that may result in an action being taken (e.g. picking the apple) or an action being withheld (e.g. resisting taking the cookie). Let us say your attention is drawn (more) to the cookies, you might favour opening the packet over reaching for the apple, but whether you do so will depend on a number of factors: whether you have eaten recently, if you enjoyed eating those particular cookies before, whether taking the cookie is consistent with your current eating and health goals, if there is someone else with you at the time and whether it is usual that you take a cookie when you enter the kitchen. Therefore, eating decisions are the outcome of a cognitive process that involves integrating a range of inputs in memory, including sensory, somatic, affective, socio-cultural and contextual information [5]. Once the decision is made to eat (or not), then the outcome of that decision will be updated in memory; did the cookie taste as expected? Did it deliver energy? This information will be used to inform future decision-making, for example by updating information stored in memory.

Specific Cognitive Control Systems

Research into the neuro-computational basis of decision-making has identified specific types of computations that take place before, during and after a choice that can be applied to cognitive control of eating (for a review see [6]). The sight of a packet of cookies may evoke a desire to grab a cookie because we have previously learned that the taste of cookies is associated with a positive hedonic response (this is known as Pavlovian learning) (for a review see [7]). In addition to learning about associations between a stimulus (cookie) and a response (pleasure of eating), we also learn associations between actions and the consequences of actions: the act of eating the cookie becomes associated with obtaining a pleasurable reward (the cookie) (this is known as instrumental or goal-directed learning) (for a review see [8]). A consequence of this type of learning can be that with repeated experience, the action may be elicited in the presence of associated contextual cues regardless of the outcome: in other words, the behaviour becomes habitual. An example would be reaching for the cookies even though we are trying to avoid eating high-calorie snacks. This is because habitual behaviour is guided by stored information about the average immediate consequences of performing the action and so is not sensitive to representations of delayed outcomes, such as the knowledge that eating the cookie would not be consistent with our current long-term health goals. Of course, we are capable of responding to the sight of the cookies based on abstract representations of outcomes, and this is what is known as goal-directed behaviour. Goal-directed behaviour is flexible because the action selected at any decision point is based on a mapping of many possible outcomes (even outcomes that have yet to be experienced) and their current expected value. In

this case, the decision about whether to take a cookie, or an apple, or no food at all, is based on computing the overall value of that action, taking into account a range of potential outcomes that could include the expected taste of the food, the delayed impact of eating the food on health and/or the social consequences of eating at that moment.

At a particular moment, behaviour may be guided primarily by Pavlovian, habitual or goal-directed control processes and which type of control predominates will depend upon the specific context in which the choice is being made and the perceived potential benefits of adopting one type of strategy over another. Habitual control may be favoured as a computationally efficient strategy, but in unfamiliar situations, goal-directed processes are likely to predominate over habitual processes [8]. In some situations, there may be conflict between the actions favoured by different control systems. For example, habitual control might promote taking the cookie but the goal-directed system might favour taking the apple instead; in addition, both processes have been associated with different reward circuits in the brain [9]. The extent to which behaviour is guided by the goal-directed, habitual or Pavlovian system could explain why some people find it easier to stick to diet goals than do others.

Interactions with Metabolic Signals

Neuro-cognitive decision-making processes that underpin eating behaviour interface with the physiological systems that provide information about metabolic state and their processing in the brain (homeostatic systems): changes in metabolic state, such as an energy deficit, motivate eating behaviour via modulation of cognitive processes (for a review see [10]). Current metabolic state biases responses to conditioned food cues: food cues are more salient and hedonic responses to food are enhanced when we are hungry [11, 12]. In addition, a state of nutritional repletion reduces the ability of food cues to excite the memory of the rewarding post-ingestive consequences of eating and so inhibits eating [13]. It is also likely that metabolic signals act in the brain to alter goal-directed learning processes, for example by altering goal-directed values assigned to food or by affecting the learning of act-outcome relationships. Research into the precise ways in which metabolic signals may affect food-related decision-making in humans is growing and will yield important insights into how the ingestion of food and the associated satiety signals inhibit eating (for a review see [10]).

The Role of Memory

Memory processes are fundamental to food-related decision-making. For example, the associations between foods and

representations of the outcomes of eating those foods that underpin conditioning are stored in memory. Working memory, e.g. holding information in mind and processing this knowledge is required for the integration of goal values and a number of recent studies have investigated the role of working memory processes in eating behaviours (for a review see [14]). The importance of episodic memory, which is memory for a specific event or episode, in relation to appetite has also been investigated (e.g. [15•]). Memory for recent eating (a type of episodic memory) has been highlighted as playing a role in satiety [16, 17]. Episodic memory may serve an important function in allowing us to use information about recent eating to predict future food needs and integrate this information with knowledge about current food availability to adapt motivation accordingly [18]. It has been suggested that impaired episodic memory ability may be related to feelings of uncertainty about the availability of food due to a reduced ability to envision and plan future eating episodes and food availability [19]; this insecurity can ultimately lead to an increase in food intake and therefore fat storage, which could increase the likelihood of obesity [20•]. It has been also argued that memory for individual eating events may be used in goal-directed computations to predict the outcome of a decision [2]. For example, in deciding whether or not to eat a cookie, we may factor into that decision the memory of the last time we ate that type of cookie and how much we enjoyed that experience.

Working Memory and Eating Behaviour

Holding food-related information in working memory increases the attention paid to food cues: we pay more attention to food in our environment if we are already thinking about food, because attention is drawn particularly efficiently to an item that matches the representation in working memory [3, 21–23]. It has also been reported that maintenance of attention to food cues is linked to greater reinforcing efficacy of food and that this is enhanced in participants with obesity who have a high working memory capacity [24]. Attentional biases towards food have been linked to increased food intake and hunger [25], possibly because paying attention to a stimulus also increases the readiness to execute actions associated with that stimulus e.g. reaching for a tempting food [26]. In addition, paying attention to specific attributes of food such as their hedonic attributes may bias consumption choices because these attributes of food are given greater weight in goal-directed valuation processes [27].

Once attention has been directed towards food and the decision to eat has been made, then attention to food determines the subsequent rate of satiation and intake. Intake is increased if attention is drawn away from food as it is eaten by engagement in a secondary activity, such as watching TV [28]. These data suggest that the maintenance of food consumption information in working memory is a mechanism underpinning

satiation. In support of this idea, it has been reported that participants with larger working memory capacity satiate faster to a variety of stimuli than do those with a lower working memory capacity [29••]. We have also reported that natural satiation increases activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) [30••] in response to food stimuli, an area of the brain that is associated with attention, memory and cognitive control [31]. Investigation of the specific role of working memory processes in satiation is an area for ripe for research.

Working memory also underpins the ability to maintain attention on current long-term goals [32]. A high working memory capacity enables individuals to maintain a focus on goals and facilitates actions that are consistent with these goals [33]. There has been some investigation of the relationship between working memory and food intake including four reports of a positive correlation between working memory and fruit/vegetable intake [34–37]. One study reported no relationship between working memory and fruit/vegetable intake, but here, a questionnaire-based measure of working memory was used rather than a behavioural measure [38]. There have been two reports of a negative correlation between working memory ability and snack intake [35, 39] and two reports of no relationship between WM and fat intake [34, 38]. These data suggest that working memory ability may be more important when making decisions about fruit and vegetable intake than when making decisions about snack foods/high energy dense food intake, which would be consistent with the idea that working memory may be critically deployed in decisions that involve taking the health consequences of eating into account. Indeed, working memory capacity may be a critical factor in helping people stick to their diet-related goals [32, 37, 40]. However, working memory has also been implicated more generally in behavioural control: high and low working memory function have been linked to excessive appetite control in anorexia on the one hand and lack of control over eating in binge eating disorder on the other hand [41, 42•, 43, 44]. Working memory likely underpins many of the processes that influence food decision-making and future work could usefully investigate when and how working memory processes are involved in food-related decision-making and how such processes may be altered in disordered eating.

Episodic Memory and Eating Behaviour

The possibility that memory for the most recent eating episode plays a role in everyday decisions about eating was first investigated experimentally using a recall paradigm in which participants were provided with a fixed lunch in the laboratory, and then 2–3 h later, were asked to recall what they remembered about eating this meal [16, 45]. Recalling the lunch eaten earlier that day, but not a lunch eaten the previous day, reduced afternoon snack intake. The explanation offered was

that memory of recent eating normally inhibits consumption and recalling the most recent episode boosted this effect. Recent findings have confirmed that recall of a recent eating episode inhibits later food intake, whereas recall of neutral information, an exercising episode, or lunch eaten the previous day, has no effect on intake [46–48]. It has also been reported that the effect of memory for recent eating is larger when recall takes place a few hours after lunch, than when it occurs only an hour later [45]. These data suggest that at least some forgetting of the meal is required before an effect of recall is evident, which is consistent with the idea that memory is an underpinning process.

Another way to test the idea that memory for recent eating inhibits later intake is to disrupt the encoding of the meal memory, for example, by distracting people from eating by asking them to engage with secondary activity such as watching TV or playing a computer game. In this case, one would predict a poorer memory of the meal because encoding would be disrupted by dividing attention between the meal and the secondary activity. Consequently, the inhibitory effect of memory for recent eating should be undermined and so participants should eat more when given the opportunity to snack later. Following the initial demonstration that people do indeed consume more afternoon snacks after a TV lunch than after eating the same amount of food when there was no distraction [49], the effect of distraction during eating on later consumption has been replicated many times [49–52]. Moreover, in all these studies, there was poorer recall of the amounts eaten in the distraction condition, suggesting that impaired memory of the recent eating episode was responsible for the enhanced intake. Stevenson and colleagues also recently observed that intake of a meal was higher after earlier snacking while watching TV than after snacking with no TV, but this was only the case for men [53]. These authors found no relationship between meal memory and later snacking, but the design of their study differed from previous studies in that the number of snacks eaten was not fixed (and so was not the same across the experimental conditions), which complicates interpretation of the findings.

If disrupting meal memory encoding impairs memory for recent eating, then asking people to pay more attention to food as it is eaten should facilitate meal memory encoding and reduce later food intake [54]. This possibility has been investigated in four studies [22, 55–57]. All studies found that focusing on food while eating was associated with reduced snacking later (the next eating episode), but no clear evidence has emerged that the effect is related to enhanced memory of the previous eating episode: only one of the studies [55] found evidence that focusing on food was associated with improved meal memory. It may be that ceiling effects prevented any effects of memory enhancement being detected, but it is also possible that the effect of “attentive eating” on later intake is not underpinned by changes in meal memory and this

possibility should be explored. Overall, there is robust evidence that manipulating memory for recent eating by meal recall or distraction affects later intake, but the effect of eating more “mindfully” on memory is not clear at present.

A striking demonstration of the association between episodic memory and eating behaviours comes from studies of patients with damage to the hippocampus who have a deficit in encoding new information in memory and who also have disturbed appetite [58]. For example, amnesic patients will eat one meal after another meal without showing a decline in hunger [58, 59]. Three recent studies have investigated the links between episodic memory ability and eating behaviours in non-clinical samples. Stevenson and colleagues have reported that episodic memory is related to sensitivity to hunger/satiety cues [60]. Participants, who reported consuming a diet rich in fat and sugar had reduced performance on an episodic memory task, were less accurate in recalling what they had previously eaten and showed reduced sensitivity to internal signals of hunger and satiety, relative to a group consuming a low-sugar/fat diet [61•]. In another study by the same group, hippocampal-dependent memory performance was related to the reduction in desire to consume palatable food when sated [62]. Martin and colleagues investigated the relationship between episodic recall performance and self-reported eating traits [63•]. Participants who reported being more susceptible to uncontrolled eating exhibited poorer episodic recall, whereas participants who reported more successful control over their intake exhibited better recall [63•]. Taken together, these data provide further support for the suggestion that episodic memory processes are involved in the control of eating.

Memory and Weight Gain

The involvement of working memory and episodic memory in the control of eating behaviours suggests that if these processes are disrupted, then problems with appetite control and weight gain should result. This proposition can be tested by examining whether memory problems are associated with weight, or more precisely, whether memory problems predict weight gain. There has been a large increase in the number of studies examining the relationship between BMI and cognitive function in the last few years. Here, the focus is on summarising the key advances in the area.

Is There a Relationship Between Memory and BMI?

A systematic review of the relationship between cognitive function and obesity in adults conducted by Prickett and colleagues [64•] did not find consistent evidence that high BMI

was associated with impairments in memory, although as the authors noted, various limitations to the studies reviewed mean that some caution is required in interpreting the findings. Most notably, the assessments of memory function were limited both in terms of the number of studies assessing memory and the specific types of memory that were assessed. Similarly, a systematic review of the relationship between cognitive function and obesity in children and adolescents revealed few studies that included specific assessments of memory [65]. The inconsistency of results across studies in this area may be explained by the differing assessments of memory used and the lack of systematic accounting for potential confounders of any relationship between obesity and memory function, such as comorbidities, socio-economic status, education and depression and the effects of stigma directed towards people with a high BMI on cognitive function.

The results of recent studies have provided more consistent evidence that high BMI is associated with memory impairments in adults. Cheke and colleagues reported that higher BMI was associated with lower performance on the what–where–when (WWW) task that assesses episodic memory, even after controlling for the effects of age, sex and years in education on performance [15•]. A further study by the same group examined neural activity in low versus high BMI participants while performing the WWW-task. High BMI participants had reduced activity in key memory structures, relative to low BMI participants, although this reduced neural activity was not reflected in a reduction in performance on the WWW-task [66]. Prickett and colleagues reported that obesity was predictive of poorer performance on both a verbal memory and a working memory task and this association remained after controlling for obesity-related comorbidities [67]. In addition, memory recognition (immediate and delayed) and digit span (forward and reverse) performance was reported to be lower in overweight and obese young women after controlling for confounders [68]. Overall, recent data indicates that there is an association between levels of body fat and memory performance. Future research may be directed at identifying moderating factors such as education level and/or cognitive reserve [69, 70].

The data from behavioural studies are consistent with the results of structural imaging studies, which show that a high BMI is associated with a reduction in grey matter volume (GMV) in brain in areas associated with memory, including the hippocampus, prefrontal cortex [71, 72, 73•, 74–76]. Reductions in white matter integrity and volume have also been reported [77, 78], especially in connections within the limbic system and those connecting the temporal and frontal lobes (for a review see [79]). In addition, there is evidence that BMI-associated structural alterations are related to memory problems; Masouleh and colleagues reported that reductions in GMV in frontal and thalamic brain areas mediated the relationship between BMI and poorer memory [80]. Two recent studies have assessed the relationship between resting state activity and BMI. Both studies reported reduced activity in

the default mode network in participants with high BMI [81, 82], although the links to cognition were unclear and this could be a topic for further study.

Several recent studies have also provided evidence for reduced memory ability at higher BMI in children. Level of abdominal adipose tissue was negatively associated with hippocampal-dependent memory ability in one study of overweight and obese children aged 7–9 [83], which may relate to reduced hippocampal volume as has been found in adolescents with obesity [84]. Goldschmidt and colleagues reported an association between overweight and poorer working memory performance but only for those children who also reported loss of control eating [85].

An important issue to bear in mind when considering the results of studies on the relationship between BMI and cognition is that majority of studies have been cross sectional and, therefore, while it may be the case that memory problems contributed to weight gain, a causal mechanism cannot be inferred from such studies. The results of longitudinal studies of adults suggest that cognitive impairments may predate weight gain [35]. A 12-month longitudinal study of children aged 6–11 years revealed that working memory deficits are already present in young children. The ability to shift attention predicted BMI at time 2 whereas BMI at time 1 was not predictive of a change in cognitive performance at time 2, which is suggestive that impairments put children at risk of becoming overweight [86•].

Evidence from rodent models is consistent with the suggestion that deficits in memory leads to problem with appetite dysregulation, which leads to subsequent weight gain [87]. Nevertheless, other evidence indicates that it is just as likely that obesity results in cognitive problems (for a review see [88]), with a likely mechanism being the effects of obesity-related inflammation on the brain [89, 90]. In fact, it has been proposed that memory impairments might contribute to over-eating and weight gain, and in turn, weight gain might lead to memory problems to perpetuate a vicious cycle [91].

There is evidence to suggest that memory impairments are associated with problems with appetite control, which are likely to contribute to weight gain, but the extent to which they associated with wider functional impacts is unclear. Indeed, many of the effects are subtle and it has yet to be established how much variance in eating behaviours and weight gain are accounted for by individual difference in memory. Studies assessing memory as a predictor of eating behaviours and body weight in a large population, while accounting for other established predictor variables, are needed to answer these questions.

Implications for Weight Management

An important implication of the research outlined here is that improving memory function may be a useful adjunct to interventions

aimed at improving appetite control. Indeed, it is well known that tracking food consumption (e.g. using food diaries) is associated with better weight outcomes and one reason why this might be the case is that tracking improves memory for recent eating [54]. There is promising evidence that the cognitive problems associated with obesity are be reversible; weight loss in people with obesity is associated with improvements in cognitive performance [92] and a change in diet from high-fat to a low-fat diet can reverse the neurological changes associated with the consumption of such diets in rats (see [93, 94], for a review of the effects of diet on cognition; [95]). Interest in developing cognitive training programmes to improve eating behaviours has increased recently [96–98]. Some programmes are aimed specifically at altering eating behaviour by training working memory [99] and meal memory encoding [100]. Many of these programmes are in the early stages of development and it remains to be seen whether they prove successful in large-scale trials. Key challenges are improving training adherence and transfer of the effects to behaviours outside of the laboratory, which may be addressed by technological innovations such as gamification, virtual reality and personalisation [101]. A potentially effective approach that deserves investigation is to combine cognitive interventions with existing dietary, pharmacological and surgical interventions for obesity.

Conclusion

Eating behaviours are underpinned by neuro-cognitive decision-making processes that rely on working memory and episodic memory. These systems are influenced by metabolic signals that provide information about short- and long-term energy storage, thus providing a mechanism by which nutritional needs are linked to motivation and behavioural control. Disruption of memory systems is linked to appetite dysregulation and weight gain, which suggests that targeting memory processes may be beneficial in interventions aimed at promoting healthier consumption.

Funding This work and the work cited herein were supported by a grant from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), grant number: BB/N008847/1. The funder had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Suzanne Higgs and Maartje S. Spetter declare they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent All reported studies/experiments with human or animal subjects performed by the authors have been previously published and complied with all applicable ethical standards (including the Helsinki declaration and its amendments, institutional/national research committee standards and international/national/institutional guidelines).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Schachter S, Gross LP. Manipulated time and eating behavior. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1968;10(2):98–106. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0026285>.
2. Higgs S. Cognitive processing of food rewards. *Appetite.* 2016;104:10–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.003>.
3. Higgs S, Rutters F, Thomas JM, Naish K, Humphreys GW. Top down modulation of attention to food cues via working memory. *Appetite.* 2012;59(1):71–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.03.018>.
4. Legg CR, Booth DA. *Appetite: neural and behavioural bases.* Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1994. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198547877.001.0001>.
5. Higgs S. Memory and its role in appetite regulation. *Physiol Behav.* 2005;85(1):67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.04.003>.
6. Rangel A. Regulation of dietary choice by the decision-making circuitry. *Nat Neurosci.* 2013;16(12):1717–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3561>.
7. Havermans RC. Pavlovian craving and overeating: a conditioned incentive model. *Curr Obes Rep. Current Science Inc.* 2013;2(2): 165–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-013-0053-z>.
8. O'Doherty JP, Cockburn J, Pauli WM. Learning, reward, and decision making. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2017;68(1):73–100. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044216>.
9. van Steenbergen H, Watson P, Wiers RW, Hommel B, de Wit S. Dissociable corticostriatal circuits underlie goal-directed vs. cue-elicited habitual food seeking after satiation: evidence from a multimodal MRI study. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2017;46(2):1815–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13586>.
10. Higgs S, Spetter MS, Thomas JM, Rotshtein P, Lee M, Hallschmid M, et al. Interactions between metabolic, reward and cognitive processes in appetite control: implications for novel weight management therapies. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2017;31(11):1460–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881117736917>.
11. Cabanac M. Physiological role of pleasure. *Science.* 1971;173:1103–7.
12. Berridge KC, Ho CY, Richard JM, DiFeliceantonio AG. The tempted brain eats: pleasure and desire circuits in obesity and eating disorders. *Brain Res.* 2010;1350:43–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.04.003>.
13. Hargrave SL, Jones S, Davidson TL. The outward spiral: a vicious cycle model of obesity and cognitive dysfunction. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 2016;9:40–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.12.001>. **This review provides an excellent overview of the influential vicious cycle model of obesity and cognitive decline.**
14. Dohle S, Diel K, Hofmann W. Executive functions and the self-regulation of eating behavior: a review. *Appetite.* 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.041>.

15. Cheke LG, Simons JS, Clayton NS. Higher body mass index is associated with episodic memory deficits in young adults. *Q J Exp Psychol (Hove)*. 2016;69(11):2305–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2015.1099163>. **This is the first study to provide evidence that participants with a high BMI have reduced episodic memory ability relative to participants with a low BMI using a novel task called “Treasure-Hunt Task” which assesses, within the same paradigm, the definitive features of episodic memory: memory for object information (“what”), location information (“where”) and temporal order information (“when”).**
16. Higgs S. Memory for recent eating and its influence on subsequent food intake. *Appetite*. 2002;39(2):159–66. <https://doi.org/10.1006/appe.2002.0500>.
17. Brunstrom JM, Burn JF, Sell NR, Collingwood JM, Rogers PJ, Wilkinson LL, et al. Episodic memory and appetite regulation in humans. Morrison C, editor. *PLoS One Public Libr Sci*. 2012;7(12):e50707. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050707>.
18. Higgs S. Cognitive influences on food intake: the effects of manipulating memory for recent eating. *Physiol Behav*. 2008;94(5):734–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.04.012>.
19. Davies KM, Cheke LG, Clayton NS. Episodic memory as an explanation for the insurance hypothesis in obesity. *Behav Brain Sci*. Cambridge University Press. 2017;40:e113.
20. Nettle D, Andrews C, Bateson M. Food insecurity as a driver of obesity in humans: the insurance hypothesis. *Behav Brain Sci*. 2017;40:e105. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X16000947>. **A paper that proposes a new theoretical framework relating obesity to food insecurity.**
21. Rutters F, Kumar S, Higgs S, Humphreys GW. Electrophysiological evidence for enhanced representation of food stimuli in working memory. *Exp Brain Res*. 2015;233(2):519–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-014-4132-5>.
22. Higgs S. Manipulations of attention during eating and their effects on later snack intake. *Appetite*. 2015;92:287–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.05.033>.
23. Kumar S, Higgs S, Rutters F, Humphreys GW. Biased towards food: electrophysiological evidence for biased attention to food stimuli. *Brain Cogn*. 2016;110:85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2016.04.007>.
24. Carr KA, Epstein LH. Working memory and attentional bias on reinforcing efficacy of food. *Appetite*. 2017;116:268–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.018>.
25. Field M, Werthmann J, Franken I, Hofmann W, Hogarth L, Roefs A. The role of attentional bias in obesity and addiction. *Health Psychol*. 2016;35(8):767–80. <https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000405>.
26. Anderson BA. *Going for it*. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA. 2017;26:140–5.
27. Werthmann J, Jansen A, Roefs A. Make up your mind about food: a healthy mindset attenuates attention for high-calorie food in restrained eaters. *Appetite*. 2016;105:53–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.05.005>.
28. Braude L, Stevenson RJ. Watching television while eating increases energy intake. Examining the mechanisms in female participants. *Appetite*. 2014;76:9–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.01.005>.
29. Nelson NM, Redden JP. Remembering satiation: the role of working memory in satiation. *J Consum Res*. 2017;44(3):633–50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucx056>. **This paper reports the results of four studies that investigated the relationship between satiation and working memory capacity. The objections of satiation were not food items but the results have implications for understanding satiation to foods. Across all four studies, there was evidence that working memory capacity contributes to the rate of satiation. In study 1, the authors found that greater working memory capacity was associated with faster satiation when viewing artworks. The results of study 2 provided evidence that people with a larger working memory capacity perceived that they had heard more music which was related to their experience of greater satiation. The results of study 3 demonstrated that processing and encoding depth mediated the relationship between working memory capacity and satiation. Finally, study 4 showed that providing people with explicit instructions to deeply process and encode stimuli attenuated the relationship between working memory capacity and satiation.**
30. Thomas JM, Higgs S, Dourish CT, Hansen PC, Harmer CJ, McCabe C. Satiation attenuates BOLD activity in brain regions involved in reward and increases activity in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex: an fMRI study in healthy volunteers. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2015;101(4):697–704. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.114.097543>. **This study was the first to investigate the neural correlates of natural satiation. BOLD levels in the brain were measured using fMRI before and after consumption of a satiating meal eaten after a normal inter-meal interval. Satiation was associated with reduced activation in the hypothalamus and in brain areas associated with reward but also increased activation in an area of the brain associated with memory, attention and cognitive control, the dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (dLPFC). The results are consistent with the idea that natural satiation is associated with a distributed pattern of neural activity suggestive of metabolic influences neural circuit areas involved in decision-making.**
31. Duncan J. The structure of cognition: attentional episodes in mind and brain. *Neuron*. 2013;80(1):35–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.09.015>.
32. Hofmann W, Gschwendner T, Friese M, Wiers RW, Schmitt M. Working memory capacity and self-regulatory behavior: toward an individual differences perspective on behavior determination by automatic versus controlled processes. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2008;95(4):962–77. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012705>.
33. Hofmann W, Schmeichel BJ, Baddeley AD. Executive functions and self-regulation. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2012;16(3):174–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.01.006>.
34. Allom V, Mullan B. Individual differences in executive function predict distinct eating behaviours. *Appetite*. 2014;80:123–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.05.007>.
35. Riggs N, Chou C-P, Spruijt-Metz D, Pentz MA. Executive cognitive function as a correlate and predictor of child food intake and physical activity. *Child Neuropsychol*. 2010;16(3):279–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09297041003601488>.
36. Sabia S, Nabi H, Kivimaki M, Shipley MJ, Marmot MG, Singh-Manoux A. Health behaviors from early to late midlife as predictors of cognitive function: the Whitehall II study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;170(4):428–37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp161>.
37. Whitelock V, Nouwen A, van den Akker O, Higgs S. The role of working memory sub-components in food choice and dieting success. *Appetite*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.043>.
38. Limbers CA, Young D. Executive functions and consumption of fruits/vegetables and high saturated fat foods in young adults. *J Health Psychol*. 2015;20(5):602–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105315573470>.
39. Riggs NR, Spruijt-Metz D, Sakuma K-L, Chou C-P, Pentz MA. Executive cognitive function and food intake in children. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2010;42(6):398–403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2009.11.003>.
40. Hofmann W, Friese M, Roefs A. Three ways to resist temptation: the independent contributions of executive attention, inhibitory control, and affect regulation to the impulse control of eating behavior. *J Exp Soc Psychol*. 2009;45(2):431–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2008.09.013>.

41. Brooks SJ, O'Daly O, Uher R, Friederich H-C, Giampietro V, Brammer M, et al. Thinking about eating food activates visual cortex with reduced bilateral cerebellar activation in females with anorexia nervosa: an fMRI study. Tsakiris M, editor. PLoS One. 2012;7(3):e34000. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034000>.
42. Brooks SJ. A debate on working memory and cognitive control: can we learn about the treatment of substance use disorders from the neural correlates of anorexia nervosa? BMC Psychiatry. 2016;16(1):10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-016-0714-z>. **This review is important in providing an overview of how current conceptualisation of working memory may be applied in eating behaviour research to greater understand disordered eating along the spectrum from excessive control in anorexia nervosa to lack of control in binge eating disorders. Suggestions are also made as to how working memory training might be incorporated into treatment programmes.**
43. Brooks SJ, Funk SG, Young SY, Schiöth HB. The role of working memory for cognitive control in anorexia nervosa versus substance use disorder. Front Psychol. 2017;8:1651. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01651>.
44. Israel M, Klein M, Pruessner J, Thaler L, Spilka M, Efanov S, et al. n-Back task performance and corresponding brain-activation patterns in women with restrictive and bulimic eating-disorder variants: preliminary findings. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2015;232(1):84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychres.2015.01.022>.
45. Higgs S, Williamson AC, Attwood AS. Recall of recent lunch and its effect on subsequent snack intake. Physiol Behav. 2008;94(3):454–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.02.011>.
46. Collins R, Stafford LD. Feeling happy and thinking about food. Counteractive effects of mood and memory on food consumption. Appetite. 2015;84:107–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.09.021>.
47. Vartanian LR, Chen WH, Reilly NM, Castel AD. The parallel impact of episodic memory and episodic future thinking on food intake. Appetite. 2016;101:31–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.02.149>.
48. Yeomans MR, Milton MR, Chambers L. Additive effects of sensory-enhanced satiety and memory for recent eating on appetite. Appetite. 2017;117:335–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.07.018>.
49. Higgs S, Woodward M. Television watching during lunch increases afternoon snack intake of young women. Appetite. 2009;52(1):39–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2008.07.007>.
50. Higgs S. Social norms and their influence on eating behaviours. Appetite. 2015;86:38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.10.021>.
51. Mittal D, Stevenson RJ, Oaten MJ, Miller LA. Snacking while watching TV impairs food recall and promotes food intake on a later TV free test meal. Appl Cogn Psychol. Wiley. 2011;25(6):871–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1760>.
52. Oldham-Cooper RE, Hardman CA, Nicoll CE, Rogers PJ, Brunstrom JM. Playing a computer game during lunch affects fullness, memory for lunch, and later snack intake. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;93(2):308–13. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.110.004580>.
53. Francis HM, Stevenson RJ, Oaten MJ, Mahmut MK, Yeomans MR. The immediate and delayed effects of TV: impacts of gender and processed-food intake history. Front Psychol. 2017;8:1616. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01616>.
54. Robinson E, Aveyard P, Daley A, Jolly K, Lewis A, Lycett D, et al. Eating attentively: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of food intake memory and awareness on eating. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;97(4):728–42. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.112.045245>.
55. Higgs S, Donohoe JE. Focusing on food during lunch enhances lunch memory and decreases later snack intake. Appetite. 2011;57(1):202–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.04.016>.
56. Robinson E, Kersbergen I, Higgs S. Eating 'attentively' reduces later energy consumption in overweight and obese females. Br J Nutr. 2014;112(04):657–61. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000711451400141X>.
57. Seguias L, Tapper K. The effect of mindful eating on subsequent intake of a high calorie snack. Appetite. 2017;121:93–100.
58. Rozin P, Dow S, Moscovitch M, Rajaram S. What causes humans to begin and end a meal? A role for memory for what has been eaten, as evidenced by a study of multiple meal eating in amnesic patients. Psychol Sci. SAGE PublicationsSage CA: Los Angeles, CA. 1998;9:392–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00073>.
59. Higgs S, Williamson AC, Rotshtein P, Humphreys GW. Sensory-specific satiety is intact in amnesics who eat multiple meals. Psychol Sci. 2008;19(7):623–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02132.x>.
60. Francis HM, Stevenson RJ. Higher reported saturated fat and refined sugar intake is associated with reduced hippocampal-dependent memory and sensitivity to interoceptive signals. Behav Neurosci. 2011;125(6):943–55. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025998>.
61. Attuquayefio T, Stevenson RJ, Boakes RA, Oaten MJ, Yeomans MR, Mahmut M, et al. A high-fat high-sugar diet predicts poorer hippocampal-related memory and a reduced ability to suppress wanting under satiety. J. Exp. Psychol. Anim. Learn. Cogn. 2016;42(4):415–28. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xan0000118>. **This study is important because it provides a direct test of the proposal that general memory deficits are related to appetite dysregulation in humans. The authors found that participants with worse memory performance were less sensitive to the satiating effects of eating. More specifically, participants who scored low on a test of hippocampal memory, the verbal paired associate task, exhibited less of a reduction in "wanting" food after consuming a standardised meal than did participants who scored highly on this measure.**
62. Attuquayefio T, Stevenson RJ, Oaten MJ, Francis HM. A four-day Western-style dietary intervention causes reductions in hippocampal-dependent learning and memory and interoceptive sensitivity. Lu L, editor. PLoS One. 2017;12(2):e0172645. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172645>.
63. Martin AA, Davidson TL, McCrory MA. Deficits in episodic memory are related to uncontrolled eating in a sample of healthy adults. Appetite. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.011>. **This study is important in providing the first test of the relationship between episodic memory and eating traits in a sample of health volunteers. Body composition, dietary intake, memory performance (episodic recall and memory inhibition) and eating behaviour traits (Three Factor Eating Questionnaire) were assessed in a lab environment. Memory inhibition was found to be unrelated to participants' eating behaviour but episodic recall was positively associated with dietary restraint and negatively associated with uncontrolled eating.**
64. Prickett C, Brennan L, Stolwyk R. Examining the relationship between obesity and cognitive function: a systematic literature review. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2015;9(2):93–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp.2014.05.001>. **A well-controlled study of neuropsychology function on obesity that addresses some of the limitations of previous studies in the area.**
65. Reinert KRS, Po'e EK, Barkin SL. The relationship between executive function and obesity in children and adolescents: a systematic literature review. J Obes. 2013;2013:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/820956>.
66. Cheke LG, Bonnici HM, Clayton NS, Simons JS. Obesity and insulin resistance are associated with reduced activity in core memory regions of the brain. Neuropsychologia. 2017;96:137–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.01.013>.
67. Prickett C, Stolwyk R, O'Brien P, Brennan L. Neuropsychological functioning in mid-life treatment-seeking adults with obesity: a cross-sectional study. Obes Surg. 2017.

68. Cook RL, O'Dwyer NJ, Donges CE, Parker HM, Cheng HL, Steinbeck KS, et al. Relationship between obesity and cognitive function in young women: the food, mood and mind study. *J Obes Hindawi*. 2017;2017:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5923862>.
69. Kirton JW, Dotson VM. The interactive effects of age, education, and BMI on cognitive functioning. *Aging Neuropsychol Cogn*. 2016;23(2):253–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2015.1082531>.
70. De Wit L, Kirton JW, O'Shea DM, Szymkowicz SM, McLaren ME, Dotson VM. Effects of body mass index and education on verbal and nonverbal memory. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn*. 2017;24(3):256–63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2016.1194366>.
71. Raji CA, Ho AJ, Parikshak NN, Becker JT, Lopez OL, Kuller LH, et al. Brain structure and obesity. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2010;31(3):353–64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20870>.
72. Willette AA, Kapogiannis D. Does the brain shrink as the waist expands? *Ageing Res Rev*. 2015;20:86–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2014.03.007>.
73. Medic N, Ziauddeen H, Ersche KD, Farooqi IS, Bullmore ET, Nathan PJ, et al. Increased body mass index is associated with specific regional alterations in brain structure. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2016;40(7):1177–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2016.42>. **A study with a large sample of younger adults with no known clinical morbidities that used surface-based morphometry to assess the relationship between distinct measures of cortical grey matter structure and BMI. The authors reported that BMI was positively associated with changes in cortical morphology in the left lateral occipital cortex (LOC) and right ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), which may relate to changes in reward valuation processes in obesity.**
74. Mole TB, Mak E, Chien Y, Voon V. Dissociated accumbens and hippocampal structural abnormalities across obesity and alcohol dependence. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2016;19(9):pyw039. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijnp/pyw039>.
75. Kennedy JT, Collins PF, Luciana M. Higher adolescent body mass index is associated with lower regional gray and white matter volumes and lower levels of positive emotionality. *Front Neurosci*. 2016;10:413.
76. Preston AR, Eichenbaum H. Interplay of hippocampus and prefrontal cortex in memory. *Curr Biol NIH Public Access*. 2013;23(17):R764–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.041>.
77. Papageorgiou I, Astrakas LG, Xydis V, Alexiou GA, Bargiotas P, Tzarouchi L, et al. Abnormalities of brain neural circuits related to obesity: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2017;37:116–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2016.11.018>.
78. van Bloemendaal L, Ijzerman RG, ten Kulve JS, Barkhof F, Diamant M, Veltman DJ, et al. Alterations in white matter volume and integrity in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Metab Brain Dis*. 2016;31(3):621–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11011-016-9792-3>.
79. Kullmann S, Heni M, Hallschmid M, Fritsche A, Preissl H, Häring H-U. Brain insulin resistance at the crossroads of metabolic and cognitive disorders in humans. *Physiol Rev*. 2016;96:1169 LP–1209.
80. Masouleh SK, Arélin K, Horstmann A, Lampe L, Kipping JA, Luck T, et al. Higher body mass index in older adults is associated with lower gray matter volume: implications for memory performance. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2016;40:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.12.020>.
81. Doucet GE, Rasgon N, McEwen BS, Micali N, Frangou S. Elevated body mass index is associated with increased integration and reduced cohesion of sensory-driven and internally guided resting-state functional brain networks. *Cereb Cortex*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx008>
82. Beyer F, Kharabian Masouleh S, Huntenburg JM, Lampe L, Luck T, Riedel-Heller SG, Loeffler M, Schroeter ML, Stumvoll M, Villringer A, Witte AV. Higher body mass index is associated with reduced posterior default mode connectivity in older adults. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23605>
83. Khan NA, Baym CL, Monti JM, Raine LB, Drollette ES, Scudder MR, et al. Central adiposity is negatively associated with hippocampal-dependent relational memory among overweight and obese children. *J Pediatr*. 2015;166(2):302–308.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.10.008>.
84. Nouwen A, Chambers A, Chechacz M, Higgs S, Blissett J, Barrett TG, et al. Microstructural abnormalities in white and gray matter in obese adolescents with and without type 2 diabetes. *NeuroImage Clin*. 2017;16:43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.07.004>.
85. Goldschmidt AB, O'Brien S, Lavender JM, Pearson CM, Le Grange D, Hunter SJ. Executive functioning in a racially diverse sample of children who are overweight and at risk for eating disorders. *Appetite*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.03.010>.
86. Groppe K, Elsner B. Executive function and weight status in children: a one-year longitudinal perspective. *Child Neuropsychol*. 2017;23(2):129–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09297049.2015.1089981>. **This study assessed a range of cognitive functions (attention shifting, working memory updating, inhibition, affective decision-making and delay of gratification) in a large population sample of children aged 6–11 years and followed them up 12 months later. It was found that children with higher BMI had reduced performance in attention shifting, working memory updating and affective decision-making at baseline. Poor attention shifting and enhanced affective decision-making predicted a slightly higher BMI one year later. The analyses controlled for important potential confounds although a limitation is that the variability in change in BMI was small.**
87. Davidson TL, Hargrave SL, Swithers SE, Sample CH, Fu X, Kinzig KP, et al. Inter-relationships among diet, obesity and hippocampal-dependent cognitive function. *Neuroscience*. 2013;253:110–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.08.044>.
88. Stoeckel LE, Arvanitakis Z, Gandy S, Small D, Kahn CR, Pascual-Leone A, et al. Complex mechanisms linking neurocognitive dysfunction to insulin resistance and other metabolic dysfunction. *F1000Research*. 2016;5:353.
89. Guillemot-Legris O, Muccioli GG. Obesity-induced neuroinflammation: beyond the hypothalamus. *Trends Neurosci*. 2017;40(4):237–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.02.005>.
90. Moreno-Navarrete JM, Blasco G, Puig J, Biarnés C, Rivero M, Gich J, et al. Neuroinflammation in obesity: circulating lipopolysaccharide-binding protein associates with brain structure and cognitive performance. *Int J Obes*. 2017;41(11):1627–35. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2017.162>.
91. Davidson TL, Sample CH, Swithers SE. An application of Pavlovian principles to the problems of obesity and cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Learn Mem NIH Public Access*. 2014;108:172–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2013.07.014>.
92. Veronese N, Facchini S, Stubbs B, Luchini C, Solmi M, Manzato E, et al. Weight loss is associated with improvements in cognitive function among overweight and obese people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2017;72:87–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.11.017>.
93. Morris MJ, Beilharz JE, Maniam J, Reichelt AC, Westbrook RF. Why is obesity such a problem in the 21st century? The intersection of palatable food, cues and reward pathways, stress, and cognition. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2015;58:36–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.12.002>.
94. Spyridaki EC, Avgoustinaki PD, Margioris AN. Obesity, inflammation and cognition. *Curr Opin Behav Sci*. 2016;9:169–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.05.004>.
95. Hao S, Dey A, Yu X, Stranahan AM. Dietary obesity reversibly induces synaptic stripping by microglia and impairs hippocampal

- plasticity. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2016;51:230–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2015.08.023>.
96. Allom V, Mullan B, Hagger M. Does inhibitory control training improve health behaviour? A meta-analysis. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2016;10(2):168–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2015.1051078>.
 97. Stice E, Lawrence NS, Kemps E, Veling H. Training motor responses to food: a novel treatment for obesity targeting implicit processes. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2016;49:16–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2016.06.005>.
 98. Veling H, Lawrence NS, Chen Z, van Koningsbruggen GM, Holland RW. What is trained during food go/no-go training? A review focusing on mechanisms and a research agenda. *Curr Addict Rep*. 2017;4(1):35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-017-0131-5>.
 99. Houben K, Dassen FCM, Jansen A. Taking control: working memory training in overweight individuals increases self-regulation of food intake. *Appetite*. 2016;105:567–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.06.029>.
 100. Robinson E, Higgs S, Daley AJ, Jolly K, Lycett D, Lewis A, et al. Development and feasibility testing of a smart phone based attentive eating intervention. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13(1):639. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-639>.
 101. Forman EM, Goldstein SP, Flack D, Evans BC, Manasse SM, Dochat C. Promising technological innovations in cognitive training to treat eating-related behavior. *Appetite*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.04.011>.